

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

NE Area Cropland

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: NE Area Cropland		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:	Telephone:	
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
Healthy Plant and Animal Communities: Wildlife Habitat Conservation – Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
1. a. Retain wildlife and plant benefits on land exiting the Conservation Reserve Program?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. b. Address and support existing conservation initiatives such as but not limited to the following or new conservation initiatives that support State, National or tribal fish or wildlife plans: Sage Grouse, Lesser Prairie Chicken, Longleaf Pine, New England-New York Forestry	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. c. Benefit federally listed threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, fish or wildlife species of concern?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. d. Benefit prioritized native habitat critical to a fish or wildlife species?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. e. Increase, improve or establish pollinator habitat?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. f. Eradicate or control prioritized noxious or invasive species?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. g. Benefit declining or important aquatic wildlife species prioritized in the State WHIP Plan?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. h. Implement conservation practices which benefit prioritized fish or wildlife species in forested areas?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. i. Establish habitat on pivot corners and irregular areas on agricultural land?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. j. Provide self-sustaining habitat for prioritized fish and wildlife while reducing net carbon emissions or boosting carbon storage (e.g., warm season perennial grasses, trees or shrubs)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. k. Benefit migration and other movement corridors for prioritized wildlife?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Business Lines – Conservation Implementation - Additional Ranking Considerations – Will the applicant in the proposed project:	
2. a. Complete habitat development within the first two years of the agreement?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Does this project have 1 to 2 sponsoring partners that are providing a letter of support AND financial or in-kind assistance to install the WHIP funded practices?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Does this project have 3 or more sponsoring partners that are providing a letter of support AND financial or in-kind assistance to install the WHIP funded practices?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. For aquatic/riparian projects, is the area affected greater than 1/4 mile for stream projects or greater than 500' for riparian projects (do not measure both sides of a watercourse)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Does project directly improve habitat for a State listed endangered animal species documented within one mile?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Does project directly improve habitat for a State listed threatened animal species documented within one mile?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Does project directly improve habitat for a State listed animal species of special concern documented within one mile?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Do AT LEAST two (2) practices in the proposed project have practice lifespans of 10 years or greater?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
State Acres For wildlife Enhancement (SAFE) Areas (Grassland Areas) and WDNR Glacial Heritage Area	
1. Is the project located within a CRP SAFE area or WDNR Glacial Heritage Area AND do the proposed practices directly improve grassland habitat?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Are BOTH Brush Management (314) and Conservation Cover (327) a part of the proposed project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Are BOTH Fire Break (394) and Prescribed Burning (338) a part of the proposed project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Riparian Corridor (Driftless Area Only)	
1. Is the project located within a Driftless Area Riparian Corridor and do the proposed practices directly improve stream and/or riparian habitat?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Are BOTH Stream Habitat Improvement and Management (395) and Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580) a part of the proposed project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Are BOTH Obstruction Removal (500) and Scenario 7, 8, 9, or 10 of Critical Area Planting (342) a part of the proposed project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Early Successional Habitat	
1. Is the project located in Juneau, Langlade, Lincoln, Marathon, Portage, Wood, Adams, Forest, Florence, Marinette, Oconto, Shawano, Price, Taylor, Clark, Jackson, Menomonie, Oneida or Vilas County and do the proposed practices directly improve early successional habitat?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Is Brush Management (314) a part of the proposed project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Pollinator Habitat	
1. Is the project located in Wood, Monroe, Jackson, Juneau, Door, Bayfield, Chippewa, Eau Claire, Crawford or Richland County and do the proposed practices directly improve pollinator habitat?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Is a Pollinator seeding/planting scenario from Conservation Cover (327), Field Border (386) or Tree and Shrub Establishment (612) a part of the proposed project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

Ranking Score

Efficiency:
Local Issues:
State Issues:
National Issues:
Final Ranking Score:

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

NRCS Representative:	Application Signature Not Required for Contract Development unless required by State policy:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: